

ON THE LENGTHS OF CERTAIN CHAINS OF SUBALGEBRAS IN LIE ALGEBRAS

DAVID A. TOWERS

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Lancaster University
Lancaster LA1 4YF
England
d.towers@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

In this paper we study the lengths of certain chains of subalgebras of a Lie algebra L : namely, a chief series, a maximal chain of minimal length, a chain of maximal length in which each subalgebra is modular in L , and a chain of maximal length in which each subalgebra is a quasi-ideal of L . In particular we show that, over a field of characteristic zero, a Lie algebra L with radical R has a maximal chain of subalgebras and a chain of subalgebras all of which are modular in L of the same length if and only if $L = R$ or L/R is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50.

Key Words and Phrases: Lie algebras, maximal chain, chief series, modular subalgebra, quasi-ideal.

1 Introduction

It has been shown by a number of authors that lattice-theoretic information about the subalgebra lattice of a Lie algebra can be used to infer information about the structure of the algebra itself. These studies resemble similar ones in the theory of groups, but there are interesting and striking differences. The current paper is inspired by the lattice-theoretic characterisations of finite solvable groups given by Shareshian and Woodrooffe in [8], but again most of the results obtained, and the methods used, are different.

Throughout L will denote a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F . We write $\text{minmax}(L)$ for the minimal length of a maximal chain of subalgebras of L and $\ell(L)$ for the length of a chief series for L . In section two we consider the relationship between $\ell(L)$ and $\ell(M)$ when M is a solvable maximal subalgebra of L . The main result is that if L is nonsolvable and F has characteristic zero, or else is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5, then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L)$. In the case where F has characteristic zero the nonsolvable algebras L for which $\ell(M) = \ell(L)$ are characterised; in particular, if F is also algebraically closed there are no such algebras.

The purpose of section three is to investigate the relationship between $\ell(L)$ and $\text{minmax}(L)$. We show first that if L is solvable then there is a maximal chain of subalgebras which has the same length as a chief series for L and such that the dimensions of the factor spaces in the two chains are in a one-one correspondence. Moreover, if L is solvable then $\text{minmax}(L) = \ell(L)$. We then consider whether, as for groups, the converse is true. This is shown to be the case if F has characteristic zero or is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than five. However, the situation for Lie algebras differs from that for groups in a number of respects: for example, there are Lie algebras L with $\text{minmax}(L) = \ell(L) + 1$, whereas there is no group with this property. For all algebras L we have $\text{minmax}(L) \geq \ell(L)$. We show that if L is nonsolvable and F has characteristic zero, or else is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5, then $\text{minmax}(L) \geq \ell(L) + 1$. In the case where F has characteristic zero the algebras L for which $\text{minmax}(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ are characterised; in particular, if F is also algebraically closed, again there are no such algebras.

A subalgebra U of L is called *modular* in L if it is a modular element in the lattice of subalgebras of L ; that is, if

$$\langle U, B \rangle \cap C = \langle B, U \cap C \rangle \quad \text{for all subalgebras } B \subseteq C,$$

and

$$\langle U, B \rangle \cap C = \langle B \cap C, U \rangle \quad \text{for all subalgebras } U \subseteq C,$$

(where, $\langle U, B \rangle$ denotes the subalgebra of L generated by U and B). A subalgebra Q of L is called a *quasi-ideal* of L if $[Q, V] \subseteq Q + V$ for every subspace V of L . We write $mod\ell(L)$ for the maximal length of a chain of subalgebras each of which is modular in L , and $qil(L)$ for the maximal length of a chain of subalgebras each of which is a quasi-ideal of L .

In section three we consider the relationship between these two measures and $\ell(L)$. It is shown that, over any field, $qil(L) = \ell(L)$, $\ell(L) + 1$ or $\ell(L) + 2$, and that if the field has characteristic zero, or if L is restricted and F is algebraically closed of characteristic $p > 0$, then $mod\ell(L) = \ell(L)$ or $\ell(L) + 1$. Furthermore, the algebras L for which $qi(L) \neq \ell(L)$ or $mod\ell(L) \neq \ell(L)$ are described. This situation again differs from the situation for groups, where $mod\ell(G) = \ell(G)$ for every finite group G . It is shown that, over a field of characteristic zero, Lie algebras L that are solvable or whose Levi subalgebra is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras are characterised by the purely lattice-theoretic condition that $mod\ell(L) = \text{minmax}(L)$. Finally it is shown that, if L be a restricted Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic $p > 0$, then $mod\ell(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ if and only if L has an ideal B such that $L/B \cong sl_2(F)$ or the Witt algebra $W(1 : \underline{1})$.

If A and B are subalgebras of L for which $L = A + B$ and $A \cap B = 0$ we will write $L = A \dot{+} B$; if, furthermore, A, B are ideals of L we write $L = A \oplus B$.

2 Chief series and solvable maximal subalgebras

Let $0 = L_0 < L_1 < \dots < L_n = L$ be a chief series for L . Then we put $\ell(L) = n =$ the *length* of the chief series. For an ideal B of L , let $il_L(B)$ denote the largest number r such that there is a chain $0 = B_0 < \dots < B_r = B$ of ideals of L of length r . Clearly $\ell(L) = \ell(L/B) + il_L(B)$; in fact, if C is an ideal of L with $C \subseteq B$ we have $i_L(B) = i_{L/C}(B/C) + i_L(C)$. If U is a subalgebra of L we define the *core* (with respect to L) of U , U_L , to be the largest ideal of L contained in U .

Lemma 2.1 *Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L and let B be an ideal of L such that B/M_L is a minimal ideal of L/M_L . Then*

$$\ell(M) - \ell(L) = il_M(M_L) - il_L(M_L) + il_{M/M_L}((M \cap B)/M_L) - 1.$$

Proof. Clearly $L = M + B$, so $L/B \cong M/(M \cap B)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(M) - \ell(L) &= \ell(M/M_L) + il_M(M_L) - \ell(L/M_L) - il_L(M_L) \\ &= il_M(M_L) - il_L(M_L) + \ell(M/M_L) - (1 + \ell(L/B)) \\ &= il_M(M_L) - il_L(M_L) + [\ell(M/M_L) - \ell(M/(M \cap B))] - 1 \\ &= il_M(M_L) - il_L(M_L) + il_{M/M_L}((M \cap B)/M_L) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.2 Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L and let B be an ideal of L such that B/M_L is a minimal ideal of L/M_L . Then

- (i) $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L) - 1$;
- (ii) if $M \cap B \neq M_L$, then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L)$; and
- (iii) if $(M \cap B)/M_L$ is neither trivial nor a minimal ideal of M/M_L , then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L) + 1$

Proof. Simply note that $il_L(M_L) \leq il_M(M_L)$. □

The *Frattini ideal* of L , $\phi(L)$, is the largest ideal of L contained in all maximal subalgebras of L . The *abelian socle* of L , $\text{Asoc } L$, is the sum of the minimal abelian ideals of L . If B/C is a chief factor of L we define the *centraliser* in L of B/C to be $C_L(B/C) = \{x \in L : [x, B] \subseteq C\}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let L be a Lie algebra with nilradical N , and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L with $N \not\subseteq M$. Then $il_M(\phi(L)) = il_L(\phi(L))$ and $\ell(M) = \ell(L) - 1$.

Proof. We have $N \neq \phi(L)$ since $N \not\subseteq M$. Let $A/\phi(L) \subseteq N/\phi(L)$ be a minimal ideal of $L/\phi(L)$ with $A \not\subseteq M$. Such an A exists by [9, Theorem 7.4]. Then $L = A + M$, $A \cap M = \phi(L)$ and $A \subseteq N$.

Let B/C be a chief factor of L with $B \subseteq \phi(L)$. Then N is the intersection of the centralizers of the factors in a chief series for L , by [5, Lemma 4.3], so $A \subseteq N \subseteq C_L(B/C)$. It follows that B/C is a chief factor of M and $il_M(\phi(L)) = il_L(\phi(L))$. In view of this, to show that $\ell(M) = \ell(L) - 1$ we can assume that $\phi(L) = 0$. But then $L = A + M$ and if B is an ideal of M , $A + B$ is an ideal of L . The result follows. □

Lemma 2.4 Let L be a Lie algebra, over a field of characteristic zero, with radical R , nilradical N , and Levi decomposition $L = R + S$. Then

$$\ell(L) = il_L(\phi(L)) + il_{L/\phi(L)}(N/\phi(L)) + \dim(R/N) + \ell(S).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\phi(L) = 0$ then

$$\ell(L) = il_L(N) + \dim(R/N) + \ell(S).$$

This follows from the fact if $\phi(L) = 0$ then $L = N \dot{+} (S \oplus C)$, where $N = \text{Asoc } L$ and C is an abelian subalgebra of L , by [9, Theorems 7.4, 7.5]. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let $S = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_n$ be a semisimple Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero, where S_i is a simple ideal of S for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L with $S_n \not\subseteq M$.*

- (i) *If $S_j \not\subseteq M$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ then $S_n \cong S_j$ and $\ell(M) = \ell(S) - 1$.*
- (ii) *If $S_j \subseteq M$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(S)$ with equality if and only if S_n is three-dimensional non-split simple or $M \cap S_n$ is simple.*

Proof. (i) We have $L = M + S_n = M + S_j$ and $M \cap S_n = M \cap S_j = 0$, since each of these is an ideal of L . It follows that $M \cong L/S_n \cong L/S_j$, whence $S_n \cong S_j$ and $\ell(M) = n-1 = \ell(S) - 1$.

(ii) In this case $M = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{n-1} \oplus M \cap S_n$, whence $\ell(M) \geq n = \ell(S)$. Moreover, $\ell(M) = \ell(S)$ if and only if $\dim M \cap S_n = 1$ or $M \cap S_n$ is simple. \square

Proposition 2.6 *Let L be a non-solvable Lie algebra over field F and let M be a solvable maximal subalgebra of L .*

- (i) *If F has characteristic zero, or else is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5, then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L)$.*
- (ii) *If F has characteristic zero then $\ell(M) = \ell(L)$ if and only if $L/R \cong S$ is three-dimensional non-split simple, where R is the radical of L , and each chief factor B/C with $\phi(L) \subseteq C \subset B \subseteq N$ is an irreducible $M \cap S$ -module.*

Proof. (i) Let L be a minimal counter-example. If $M_L \neq 0$ then L/M_L is non-solvable and $\ell(M/M_L) \geq \ell(L/M_L)$ by the minimality of L . But now $\ell(M) - il_M(M_L) \geq \ell(L) - il_L(M_L)$, whence $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L) + il_M(M_L) - il_L(M_L) \geq \ell(L)$, a contradiction. Thus $M_L = 0$.

Let B be a minimal ideal of L . Then $B \not\subseteq M$, so $L = M + B$. We must have that $M \cap B$ is trivial or is a minimal ideal of M , since otherwise Corollary 2.2 (iii) is contradicted. Suppose first that it is a minimal ideal of M . Then $\ell(M) = \ell(M/(M \cap B)) + 1 = \ell(L/B) + 1 = \ell(L)$, a contradiction.

We therefore have that $M \cap B = 0$. But then M is a c-ideal of L , and so L is solvable, by [12, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3], a contradiction.

(ii) We show first that if L/R is not three-dimensional non-split simple then $\ell(M) > \ell(L)$. Let L be a minimal counter-example. Suppose $M_L \neq 0$. Clearly $M_L = R$ so L/M_L is non-solvable and is not three-dimensional non-split simple. We thus have $\ell(M/M_L) > \ell(L/M_L)$ by the minimality of L . But then, as above, $\ell(M) > \ell(L)$, a contradiction, so $M_L = 0$ and L is semisimple. If B is a simple ideal of L , then $L = M+B$ and $L/B \cong M/M \cap B$ is solvable, so L is simple. But then $\ell(M) = \ell(L) = 1$ and so M is one-dimensional. This yields that L is three-dimensional non-split simple, a contradiction.

It follows that, if $\ell(M) = \ell(L)$, then L/R is three-dimensional non-split simple and $M = R + Fs$ for some $s \in S$. But now

$$\ell(M) = il_M(\phi(L)) + il_{M/\phi(L)}(N/\phi(L)) + \dim(R/N) + 1,$$

by Lemma 2.4. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\ell(L) = \ell(M)$ if and only if $il_{M/\phi(L)}(N/\phi(L)) = il_{L/\phi(L)}(N/\phi(L))$. This occurs precisely when each chief factor B/C with $\phi(L) \subseteq C \subset B \subseteq N$ is an irreducible Fs -module. \square

Note that, in particular, the chief factors referred to in part (ii) of the above result must have dimension at most two, as the following example illustrates.

EXAMPLE 2.1 *Let $L = A \rtimes S$ be the semidirect product of an abelian ideal, A , and a three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebra, S , over the real field. Let S act irreducibly on A and let $\dim A \geq 3$. Then $\ell(L) = 2$. However, for any $s \in S$, A has an ads -invariant subspace of dimension at most two (see, for example, [7, page 23]). It follows that the maximal subalgebra $M = A \rtimes Fs$ has $\ell(M) \geq 3$.*

Corollary 2.7 *Let L be a nonsolvable Lie algebra over a field F which is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, and let M be a maximal solvable subalgebra of L . Then $\ell(M) \geq \ell(L) + 1$.*

3 Chains of subalgebras of maximal length

The following two results are analogues of [6, Theorems 1,2].

Theorem 3.1 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra with chief series $L = L_r > L_{r-1} > \dots > L_0 = 0$. Then there is a maximal chain of subalgebras $L = M_r > M_{r-1} > \dots > M_0 = 0$ of L and a permutation $\pi \in S_r$ such that $\dim(M_i/M_{i-1}) = \dim(L_{\pi(i)}/L_{\pi(i)-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Proof. We use induction on $\dim L$. Let $A/\phi(L)$ be a minimal ideal of $L/\phi(L)$. Then there is a maximal subalgebra M of L with $L = A + M$ and $A \cap M = \phi(L)$. Let B/C be a chief factor of L with $B \subseteq \phi(L)$. Then, as in Lemma 2.3, B/C is a chief factor of M . Form a chief series $L = L_r > \dots > L_k = A > L_{k-1} = \phi(L) > \dots > L_0 = 0$ for L . Since $M/\phi(L) \cong L/A$ and the chief factors of L inside $\phi(L)$ are chief factors of M , the chief factors of M are isomorphic to L_j/L_{j-1} for $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \cup \{k+1, \dots, r\}$. It follows by induction that M has a maximal chain of subalgebras such that there is a bijection from the set of codimensions of successive elements in this chain to the set of dimensions of these chief factors. But $\dim L/M = \dim A/\phi(L)$, which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra with chief series $L = L_s > L_{s-1} > \dots > L_0 = 0$, and let $L = M_r > M_{r-1} > \dots > M_0 = 0$ be a maximal chain of subalgebras of L of minimum length. Then $r = s$ and there is a permutation $\pi \in S_r$ such that $\dim(M_i/M_{i-1}) = \dim(L_{\pi(i)}/L_{\pi(i)-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Proof. We have $\ell(L) = s \geq r$, by Theorem 3.1. We use induction on $\dim L$. Then there is a bijection from the set of codimensions of successive elements in the chain $M_{r-1} > \dots > M_0 = 0$ to the set of dimensions of the chief factors of M_{r-1} . Hence $\ell(M_{r-1}) = r-1$. Let B be the core of M_{r-1} , and A/B be a chief factor of L . Then $L = M_{r-1} + A$ and $B = M_{r-1} \cap A$.

Form a chief series $L = L_s > \dots > L_k = A > L_{k-1} = B > \dots > L_0 = 0$ for L . Then $L/A \cong M_{r-1}/B$, so the chief factors of M_{r-1} containing B are isomorphic to L_j/L_{j-1} for $k+1 \leq j \leq s$. Also any chief factor of L inside B is also a chief factor of M_{r-1} , since otherwise $\ell(M_{r-1}) \geq \ell(L) \geq r$, a contradiction. Finally $\dim L/M_{r-1} = \dim A/B$, which completes the proof. \square

Put $\text{minmax}(L) =$ the minimum length of a maximal chain of subalgebras of L .

Lemma 3.3 *For every Lie algebra L we have $\text{minmax}(L) \geq \ell(L)$.*

Proof. Let L be a minimal counter-example, and let

$$0 = M_0 < \dots < M_r = L$$

be a maximal chain of subalgebras of L . Clearly $r \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r &\geq 1 + \minmax(M_{r-1}) \geq 1 + \ell(M_{r-1}) && \text{by the minimality of } L \\ &\geq \ell(L) && \text{by Corollary 2.2 (i).} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4 *Let L be a Lie algebra, over a field of characteristic zero, with radical R and Levi decomposition $L = R+S$. Then $\minmax(L) \leq il_L(R) + \minmax(S)$.*

Proof. We use induction on $\dim R$. The result is clear if $R = 0$, so suppose that $R \neq 0$. Clearly $\phi(L) \neq R$ by Levi's Theorem, so let $A/\phi(L)$ be a minimal ideal of $L/\phi(L)$ with $A \subseteq R$. Then there is a maximal subalgebra M of L such that $L = A + M$, $S \subseteq M$ and $A \cap M = \phi(L)$. Let $R(M)$ be the radical of M . Then $A + R(M)$ is a solvable ideal of L , and so $R(M) \subseteq R \cap M \subseteq R(M)$. By induction we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \minmax(M) &\leq il_M(R \cap M) + \minmax(S) \\ &= il_M(\phi(L)) + il_{M/\phi(L)}((R \cap M)/\phi(L)) + \minmax(S) \\ &= il_L(\phi(L)) + il_{L/\phi(L)}(R) - 1 + \minmax(S) \\ &= il_L(R) - 1 + \minmax(S), \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.3. But now

$$\minmax(L) \leq \minmax(M) + 1 \leq il_L(R) + \minmax(S).$$

□

Theorem 3.5 *Let L be a nonsolvable Lie algebra over a field F which is either of characteristic zero or else is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5. Then $\minmax(L) \geq \ell(L) + 1$.*

Proof. Let L be a minimal counter-example, and let $0 = M_0 < \dots < M_r = L$ be a maximal chain of subalgebras of L of minimal length r . Clearly $r \geq 1$. If M_{r-1} is not solvable we get

$$\begin{aligned} r - 1 &\geq \minmax(M_{r-1}) \geq 1 + \ell(M_{r-1}) && \text{by the minimality of } L \\ &\geq \ell(L) && \text{by Corollary 2.2 (i),} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If M_{r-1} is solvable then

$$\begin{aligned} r - 1 &\geq \minmax(M_{r-1}) = \ell(M_{r-1}) && \text{by Theorem 3.2} \\ &\geq \ell(L) && \text{by Proposition 2.6 ,} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

Putting together Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6 *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field over field F which is either of characteristic zero or else is algebraically closed of characteristic greater than 5. Then $\minmax(L) = \ell(L)$ if and only if L is solvable.*

Corollary 3.7 *Let L be a nonsolvable Lie algebra over a field F which is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. Then $\minmax(L) \geq \ell(L) + 2$.*

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. Let L be a minimal counter-example, and let $0 = M_0 < \dots < M_r = L$ be a maximal chain of subalgebras of L of minimal length r . Clearly $r \geq 1$. If M_{r-1} is not solvable we get

$$\begin{aligned} r - 1 &\geq \minmax(M_{r-1}) \geq 2 + \ell(M_{r-1}) && \text{by the minimality of } L \\ &\geq 1 + \ell(L) && \text{by Corollary 2.2 (i),} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If M_{r-1} is solvable then

$$\begin{aligned} r - 1 &\geq \minmax(M_{r-1}) = \ell(M_{r-1}) && \text{by Theorem 3.2} \\ &\geq \ell(L) + 1 && \text{by Corollary 2.7 ,} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. \square

The above result mirrors more closely what happens in group theory. It is noted in [8] that there is no group G with $\minmax(G) = \ell(G) + 1$. However, if L is three-dimensional non-split simple, then $\ell(L) = 1$ and $\minmax(L) = 2$. In fact, when F has characteristic zero, we can classify the algebras L for which $\minmax(L) = \ell(L) + 1$.

Proposition 3.8 *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic zero with radical R . Then $\minmax(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ if and only if L/R is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras.*

Proof. We establish the ‘only if’ first. By Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 it suffices to show that if L/R is not a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras then $\minmax(L) \geq \ell(L) + 2$. Let L be a minimal counter-example, and let

$$0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_n = L$$

be a maximal chain of minimal length. If $R \not\subseteq M_{n-1}$ then $L = R + M_{n-1}$ and $L/R \cong M_{n-1}/(R \cap M_{n-1})$, so $M_{n-1}/R(M_{n-1})$ is not a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras (where $R(M_{n-1})$ is the radical of M_{n-1}). It follows that

$$n - 1 \geq \minmax(M_{n-1}) \geq \ell(M_{n-1}) + 2 \geq \ell(L) + 1, \quad (1)$$

by the inductive hypothesis and Corollary 2.2, whence $n \geq \ell(L) + 2$, as claimed.

So suppose that $R \subseteq M_{n-1}$. Let $L = R \dot{+} S$ be the Levi decomposition of L , let $S = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r$ where S_i is a simple ideal of L for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and suppose that $S_r \not\subseteq M_{n-1}$. If M_{n-1} is solvable, then

$$n - 1 \geq \minmax(M_{n-1}) \geq \ell(L) + 1,$$

by Proposition 2.6, and the result follows. If $M_{n-1}/R(M_{n-1})$ is non-zero but not a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras, then (1) holds again. So suppose that $M_{n-1}/R(M_{n-1})$ is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras. Then $S_j \subseteq M_{n-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, since otherwise S is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras, by Lemma 2.5. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 &\geq \minmax(M_{n-1}) \geq \ell(M_{n-1}) + 1 && \text{by Theorem 3.5} \\ &= il_{M_{n-1}}(R) + \ell(M_{n-1} \cap S) + 1 \\ &\geq il_L(R) + \ell(M_{n-1} \cap S) + 1 \\ &\geq il_L(R) + \ell(S) + 1 && \text{by Lemma 2.5} \\ &= \ell(L) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Suppose now that L/R is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras. By Theorem 3.5 we need only show that $\minmax(L) \leq \ell(L) + 1$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.4, it suffices to show that $\minmax(L/R) \leq \ell(L/R) + 1$. Let $L/R \cong S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k$, where the S_i are isomorphic copies of the same three-dimensional non-split simple Lie

algebra. Define Δ_i inductively by $\Delta_1 = \{s + \bar{s} : s \in S_{k-1}, \bar{s} = \theta(s)\}$, where θ is an isomorphism from S_{k-1} to $S_k\}$ (the diagonal subalgebra of $S_{k-1} \oplus S_k$), Δ_i = the diagonal subalgebra of $S_{k-i} \oplus \Delta_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then

$$0 < Fs < \Delta_{k-1} < S_1 \oplus \Delta_{k-2} \dots < S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_{k-2} \oplus \Delta_1 < L$$

is a maximal chain of subalgebras of L , so $\text{minmax}(L) \leq k+1$. But then $\text{minmax}(L) = \ell(L) + 1$, by Theorem 3.5. \square

EXAMPLE 3.1 Notice that $\text{minmax}(L) - \ell(L)$ can take any value $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For, let $L = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_n$, where the S_i are mutually non-isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Over the rational field there are infinitely many such S_i . Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L . Then Lemma 2.5 implies that $M = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{S}_i \oplus \dots \oplus S_n \oplus Fs_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $s_i \in S_i$ and \hat{S}_i indicates a term that is missing from the direct sum. Similarly it is easy to see that any maximal subalgebra of M is isomorphic to $S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{S}_i \oplus \dots \oplus S_n$ or to

$$S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{S}_i \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{S}_j \oplus \dots \oplus S_n \oplus Fs_i \oplus Fs_j.$$

It follows that $\ell(L) = n$ and $\text{minmax}(L) = 2n$.

4 Chains of modular subalgebras and of quasi-ideals of maximal length

We shall need the following characterisation of modular subalgebras as given by Amayo and Schwarz in [3].

Theorem 4.1 ([3, page 311]) A modular subalgebra M of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra L over any field of characteristic zero is either

- (i) an ideal of L ; or
- (ii) L/M_L is almost abelian, every subalgebra of L/M_L is a quasi-ideal, M/M_L is one-dimensional and is spanned by an element which acts as the identity map on $([L, L] + M_L)/M_L$; and $L/([L, L] + M_L)$ is one-dimensional; or
- (iii) M/M_L is two-dimensional and L/M_L is the three-dimensional split simple Lie algebra; or
- (iv) M/M_L is a one-dimensional maximal subalgebra of L/M_L and L/M_L is a three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebra.

Theorem 4.2 *Let L be a Lie algebra with radical R over a field of characteristic zero. Then*

- (i) $\ell(L) \leq \text{mod}\ell(L) \leq \ell(L) + 1$; and
- (ii) $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ if and only if L/R has a three-dimensional simple ideal.

Proof. The fact that $\ell(L) \leq \text{mod}\ell(L)$ follows from the fact that ideals of L are modular in L . Let

$$0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_s = L \quad (2)$$

be a chain of modular subalgebras of L of maximal length, and suppose that M_i is the first ideal of L that we encounter in going down the chain from M_{s-1} . Then M_{i-k} is an ideal of L for each $0 \leq k \leq i$, by [10, Lemmas 1.1, 1.7]. If $i = s - 1$ then (2) is a chief series for L and $\ell(L) = s = \text{mod}\ell(L)$. So suppose that $i < s - 1$. Then $M_i = (M_{i+1})_L$ and one of cases (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4.1 holds. If L/M_i is almost abelian, then $\ell(L) = i + 2 = s$, and so $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \ell(L)$. If L/M_i is three-dimensional simple, then $\ell(L) = i + 1 = s - 1$ and so $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \ell(L) + 1$. This proves both (i) and (ii). \square

A straightforward corollary is the following result which gives a purely lattice-theoretic characterisation of certain algebras.

Corollary 4.3 *Let L be a Lie algebra with radical R over a field of characteristic zero. Then $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \text{minmax}(L)$ if and only if $L = R$ or L/R is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras.*

Proof. Suppose first that $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \text{minmax}(L)$ but L is nonsolvable. Then

$$\ell(L) + 1 \leq \text{minmax}(L) = \text{mod}\ell(L) \leq \ell(L) + 1,$$

by Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.2 (i). It follows that $\text{minmax}(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ and so L/R is a direct sum of isomorphic three-dimensional non-split simple Lie algebras, by Proposition 3.8.

The converse follows from Theorems 3.2, 4.2 and Proposition 3.8. \square

We recall the definition of the algebras $L_m(\Gamma)$ over a field F of characteristic zero or p , where p is prime, as given by Amayo in [2, page 46]. Let m be a positive integer satisfying

$$m = 1, \quad \text{or if } p \text{ is odd, } m = p^r - 2 \quad (r \geq 1),$$

or if $p = 2$, $m = 2^r - 2$ or $m = 2^r - 3$ ($r \geq 2$).

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots\} \subseteq F$ subject to

$$(m+1-i)\gamma_i = \gamma_{m+i-1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{i,k+1-i}\gamma_{k+1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, k \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Let $L_m(\Gamma)$ be the Lie algebra over F with basis $v_{-1}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m$ and products

$$[v_{-1}, v_i] = -[v_i, v_{-1}] = v_{i-1} + \gamma_i v_m, \quad [v_{-1}, v_{-1}] = 0,$$

$$[v_i, v_j] = \lambda_{ij} v_{i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } 0 \leq i, j \leq m,$$

where $v_{m+1} = \dots = v_{2m} = 0$.

We shall need the following classifications of quasi-ideals and of Lie algebras with core-free subalgebras of codimension one as given in [1] and [2].

Theorem 4.4 ([1, Theorem 3.6]) *Let Q be a core-free quasi-ideal of the Lie algebra L over a field F . Then one of the following possibilities occurs.*

- (i) Q has codimension one in L ;
- (ii) $L \cong L_1(0)$ (defined below) and F has characteristic two; or
- (iii) L is almost abelian and Q is one-dimensional.

Theorem 4.5 ([2, Theorem 3.1]) *Let L have a core-free subalgebra of codimension one. Then either (i) $\dim L \leq 2$, or else (ii) $L \cong L_m(\Gamma)$ for some m and Γ satisfying the above conditions.*

We shall also need the following properties of $L_m(\Gamma)$ which are given by Amayo in [2].

Theorem 4.6 ([2, Theorem 3.2])

- (i) If $m > 1$ and m is odd, then $L_m(\Gamma)$ is simple and has only one subalgebra of codimension one.
- (ii) If $m > 1$ and m is even, then $L_m(\Gamma)$ has a unique proper ideal of codimension one, which is simple, and precisely one other subalgebra of codimension one.
- (iii) $L_1(\Gamma)$ has a basis $\{u_{-1}, u_0, u_1\}$ with multiplication $[u_{-1}, u_0] = u_{-1} + \gamma_0 u_1$ ($\gamma_0 \in F$, $\gamma_0 = 0$ if $\Gamma = \{0\}$), $[u_{-1}, u_1] = u_0$, $[u_0, u_1] = u_1$.

- (iv) If F has characteristic different from two then $L_1(\Gamma) \cong L_1(0) \cong sl_2(F)$.
- (v) If F has characteristic two then $L_1(\Gamma) \cong L_1(0)$ if and only if γ_0 is a square in F .

Theorem 4.7 Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F . Then

- (i) $\ell(L) \leq qil(L) \leq \ell(L) + 2$;
- (ii) $qil(L) = \ell(L) + 2$ if and only if F has characteristic two and L has an ideal B such that $L/B \cong L_1(0)$; and
- (iii) $qil(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ if and only if L has an ideal B such that $L/B \cong L_m(\Gamma)$ where m is odd, and γ_0 is not a square in F if $m = 1$.

Proof. We proceed as in Theorem 4.2. The fact that $\ell(L) \leq qil(L)$ follows from the fact that ideals of L are quasi-ideals of L . Let

$$0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_s = L \quad (3)$$

be a chain of quasi-ideals of L of maximal length, and suppose that M_i is the first ideal of L that we encounter in going down the chain from M_{s-1} . Then M_{i-k} is an ideal of L for each $0 \leq k \leq i$, by [10, Lemmas 1.1, 1.7] and the fact that quasi-ideals of L are modular in L . If $i = s - 1$ then (3) is a chief series for L and $\ell(L) = s = qil(L)$. So suppose that $i < s - 1$. Then $M_i = (M_{i+1})_L$ and one of the cases of Theorems 4.5 and 4.4 holds. If L/M_i is almost abelian, then $\ell(L) = i + 2 = s$, and so $qil(L) = \ell(L)$. If not, then $L/M_i \cong L_m(\Gamma)$. There are the following possibilities:

- if $m > 1$ and m odd, then $\ell(L) = i + 1 = s - 1$, so $qil(L) = \ell(L) + 1$;
- if $m > 1$ and m even, then $\ell(L) = i + 2 = s$, so $qil(L) = \ell(L)$;
- if $m = 1$ and γ_0 is not a square if F has characteristic 2, then $\ell(L) = i + 1 = s - 1$, so $qil(L) = \ell(L) + 1$; and finally
- if $m = 1$, F has characteristic 2 and $L_1(\Gamma) \cong L_1(0)$, then $\ell(L) = i + 1 = s - 2$, so $qil(L) = \ell(L) + 2$.

This establishes all three cases. \square

Corollary 4.8 Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic $p > 0$. Then

- (i) $\text{mod}\ell(L) \leq \ell(L) + 1$; and
- (ii) $\text{mod}\ell(L) = \ell(L) + 1$ if and only if L has an ideal B such that $L/B \cong \text{sl}_2(F)$ or the Witt algebra $W(1 : \underline{1})$.

Proof. Since L is restricted over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic $p > 0$, every modular subalgebra of L is a quasi-ideal of L ; this was proved for $p > 7$ by Varea in [13, Theorem 2.2] and extended to cover $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ by Towers in [11, Theorem 2.2]. Moreover, case (ii) of Theorem 4.7 cannot occur, and case (iii) only occurs when $L/B \cong \text{sl}_2(F)$ or the Witt algebra $W(1 : \underline{1})$ (see the last paragraph of the proof of [2, Lemma 3.4]). \square

References

- [1] R.K. AMAYO, ‘Quasi-Ideals of Lie Algebras I’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **33** (1976), 28–36.
- [2] R.K. AMAYO, ‘Quasi-Ideals of Lie Algebras II’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **33** (1976), 37–64.
- [3] R.K. AMAYO AND J. SCHWARZ, ‘Modularity in Lie algebras’, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **10** (1980), 311–322.
- [4] D.W. BARNES, ‘On the cohomology of soluble Lie algebras’, *Math. Z.* **101** (1967), 343–349.
- [5] D.W. BARNES AND H.M. GASTNEAU-HILLS, ‘On the theory of soluble Lie algebras’, *Math. Z.* **106** (1968), 343–354.
- [6] J. KOHLER, ‘A note on solvable groups’, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 235–236.
- [7] A.G. GEIN, ‘Projections of a Lie algebra of characteristic zero’, *Izvestija vyssh. ucebn. Zaved. Mat., no. 4* **191** (1978), 26–31.
- [8] J. SHARESHIAN AND R. WOODROOFE, ‘A new subgroup lattice characterization of finite solvable groups’, arXiv:1011.2503v2.
- [9] D.A. TOWERS, ‘A Frattini theory for algebras’ *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **27** (1973), 440–462.
- [10] D.A. TOWERS, ‘Semimodular Subalgebras Of Lie Algebras’, *J. Algebra* **103**(1) (1986), 202–207.

- [11] D.A. TOWERS, ‘On Semimodular Subalgebras Of Lie Algebras Over Fields Of Arbitrary Characteristic’, *Asian-European J. Math.* **1**(2) (2008), 283-294.
- [12] D.A. TOWERS, ‘C-ideals of Lie algebras’, *Comm. Alg.* **37** (2009), 4366–4373.
- [13] V.R.VAREA, ‘Modular subalgebras, quasi-ideals and inner ideals in Lie algebras of prime characteristic’, *Comm. Algebra* **21** (11) (1993), 4195–4218.